

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/762,833	01/30/2001	Tam Phuong Le	TW-5922-A 1102		
7	590 12/12/2003	EXAMINER			
E I du Pont de Nemours & Company			LIPMAN, BERNARD		
Legal Patents Wilmington, I	DE 19898		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1713		
			DATE MAILED: 12/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>									
Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		09/762,83		LE ET AL.					
	Onice Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	TI MANUALO DATE SEALIS SANCTORIOS	Bernard I	<u> </u>	1713					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the	e cover sneet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress				
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is signs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the maind patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no eveneply within the state of will apply and wute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) day: ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. xommunication.				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	November 2	<u>003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10,23-27,40 and 41</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>11-22, 28-37 and 39</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election r	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.							
10) 🔲	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
-	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a)[ * S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a li acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome nce a specific reference was included in the foreign language p acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome sterence was included in the first sentence of	nts have beents have beents have been iority documental (PCT Rulest of the certistic priority unfirst sentence provisional apstic priority unstic priority uns	en received. En received in Application received in Application to the transport of the specification of the specification has been received and the specification of the specifi	on No  ed in this National  ed.  e) (to a provisional  in an Application  eived.  and/or 121 since	al application) Data Sheet. a specific				
Attachment			A) 🗖 1=4== 1=== 2	(DTO 440) D	<b>/</b> ->				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	)	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Serial No. 09/762,833

Art Unit 1713

1. Claims 11-22, 28-37 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as indefinite. Claims are rejected for reasons presented in the previous Office action. During an interview with applicants' representatives, an agreement was made that applicants would clarify the claims to stipulate that Q represents a polymer or block polymer and q represents the number of different monomer units in Q. Furthermore, the identification of R as a polymer when Q was not a block copolymer in itself was also supposed to be incorporated into the claims. This would then clarify the claims as being drawn to block polymers. Examiner does not see how the identification of Q as currently presented clearly represents a polymer, but rather it is drawn as a repeat unit which can be a homopolymer and is not specified as potentially being a block copolymer. Furthermore there is no stipulation that there be repeating units. Some lower case integer should be identified to stipulate what kind of polymers are being claimed. q has also not been identified as representative of the number of different kinds of monomers present in O. The claims, therefore, continue to be indefinite insofar as they do not coincide with the intended disclosure as described by applicants and do not coincide with the preamble which requires block polymers.

-3-

Art Unit 1713

Bernard Lipman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1713

BL:cdc December 9, 2003